

**FEEDBACK GIVEN TO TEACHING AND NON-TEACHING STAFF OVER THE
SESSION: 2018-19**

After proper analysis Principal of the College asked the teachers to rectify their deficiencies
in identified deficiencies

SI No	NAME OF THE STAFF MEMBER	FEEDBACK GIVEN BY STUDENT	IMPROVEMENT OBSERVED AFTER FEEDBACK
1	Ms. Pinky Bhattacharyya	Lectures are too fast	Lecturer has started teaching at a slower pace.
2	Ms. Rumi Laskar	Lack of clarity in explanation.	Teaching with enhanced clarity.
3	Ms. Anupama Gayan	Sufficient time not given to students for asking questions	Adequate time is being allocated for clearing doubts at the end of the lecture.
4	Ms. Lina Saikia	PPT slides are not clear and legible.	Care is being taken to ensure that the PowerPoint presentations are clear and professional looking.
5	Ms. Parismita Borah	Frequently avoiding classroom teaching.	Regularly taking the classes as per the timetable.

**FEEDBACK GIVEN TO TEACHING AND NONTEACHING STAFF OVER THE
SESSION: 2019-20**

SI No	NAME OF THE STAFF MEMBER	FEEDBACK GIVEN BY STUDENT	IMPROVEMENT OBSERVED AFTER FEEDBACK
1	Ms. Tulika Saikia	Showing partiality in evaluating students' performance.	Treating all the students fairly and impartially
2	Ms. Azbina Sultana	Speaking fast in the class, hence not understandable.	Changed approach by teaching slowly and clearly.
3	Ms Morami Das	Not available to students outside the classroom.	Accessible to students after class hours also.
4	Ms. Pitima Borah	Too strict towards students.	Ensuring that any misbehaviour from students is not tolerated and they all behave professionally in the class.
5	Ms. Mitali Devi	Font colours used in PP.Ts are not visible	Standard colours, fonts, text size and bullets are being used in all the presentations to make them visually appealing.

THE SESSION: 2020-21

SL NO	NAME OF THE STAFF MEMBER	FEEDBACK GIVEN BY STUDENT	IMPROVEMENT OBSERVED AFTER FEEDBACK
1	Ms. Rijumoni Konwar	Avoiding difficult topics in teaching.	Covering all topics in the syllabus to the satisfaction of the students.
2	Ms. Jahnavi Das	Low comprehensibility in teaching.	Improved the style of teaching by giving more examples, analogies, etc.
3	Ms. Rikumoni Saikia	Weak communication skills.	Working on improving spoken and written English

THE SESSIONS: 2021-22

SLNO	NAME OF THE STAFF MEMBER	FEEDBACK GIVEN BY STUDENT	IMPROVEMENT OBSERVED AFTER FEEDBACK
1	Dr Minoti Shama Bharali	Voice is very low and inaudible to the last benchers.	Ensuring that everyone in the class is following the lecture by standing close to the students and moving across the aisles while teaching.
2	Mr. Smritijyoti Goswami	Too many points are covered in one class.	Syllabus topics are divided appropriately and care is being taken to cover only adequate number of points that can be easily understood by students.
3	Dr Rupali SarmahD	Lecturer is very didactic, no interaction with students.	Modified her behaviour and is interacting more frequently during the lectures.
4	Ms. Mehjabeen Hussain	Exhibits favouritism	Behaviour has changed and all students are being treated equally.

SESSION: 2022-23

SLNO	NAME OF THE STAFF MEMBER	FEEDBACK GIVEN BY STUDENT	IMPROVEMENT OBSERVED AFTER FEEDBACK
1	Sri Biplob Mahanta	Lectures are too fast	Lecturer has started teaching at a slower pace.
2	Sri Mithun Das	Lack of clarity in explanation	Teaching with enhanced clarity
3	Ms. Bisita Mazumdar	Not available to students outside the classroom	Accessible to students after class hours also.